RADIO 2024 Erlangen Center for Astroparticle Physics

How scintillation reshapes small-scale ISM models

Tim Sprenger

Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie 14.11.2024

Michael Kramer

Olaf Wucknitz Robert Main Sachin Pradeep

1

What is scintillation?

Vacuum Interstellar Medium \blacksquare ISM <u>.</u> frequency v \overline{a} frequency time t time t

Column density of electrons

Column density of electrons

Multiple paths: Different travel times

Dispersion **Scattering** Scattering Scintillation frequency v frequency v frequency ν ₩ χ time t time t time t

Column density of electrons

Multiple paths: Different travel times Multiple paths: Interference

Dynamic spectrum

Creation of a dynamic spectrum

Discovery of pulsar scintillation

1967/68: Discovery of pulsars

using the

Interplanetary Scintillation Array

1968: Interpretation of scintillation as a propagation effect.

Amplitude Variations in Pulsed Radio Sources

by

P. A. G. SCHEUER Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory, Cavendish Laboratory, University of Cambridge

Refraction by irregularities in the interstellar medium may cause the long period fluctuations in the amplitudes of radio signals from the pulsed sources.

Scintles

Size of scintles grows with frequency.

Stays characteristic for each pulsar for some time.

PSR B0329+54 observed at Effelsberg & LOFAR

Autocorrelation Function (ACF)

B0329+54

150 MHz

1450 MHz

Scintillation time scale $t_{\rm ISS}$ Scintillation bandwidth $\nu_{\rm ISS}$

astronomical units

2 weeks later

 Ω

astronomical units

 -1

 $\overline{1}$

Observational evidence

Situation around the year 2000:

30 years of data found lower average scaling index than Kolmogorov turbulence.

Mounting examples of refraction events: tilted and periodic scintles.

Still, an adapted version of the turbulence power spectrum was thought to solve these problems.

Pulsar Astronomy: 2000 and Beyond, Bonn

The disruptive discovery of scintillation arcs

Dynamic spectrum International Secondary spectrum

PSR B1508+55, Effelsberg Sprenger et al. 2022

Lines of images

Secondary spectra often show sharp parabolic arcs or even collections of arclets.

Similar to interferometric imaging, the scattered pulsar can be reconstructed.

Anisotropic turbulence

A straight line of images requires an anisotropic screen.

Turbulence exists on different scales in two directions.

Feature Alignment | B1508+55

 $\propto \theta$

Projected filaments

Images can only form along certain filaments.

Leads to a scaling of

$$
\nu_{\rm ISS} \propto \nu^4
$$

Projected filaments

Projected noodles or sheets. Likely supported by magnetic fields.

e.g. waves on reconnection current sheets:

Effelsberg Ultra Broad Band (UBB) receiver

PSR B1508+55

 $\mathcal{T}\left[\mu\mathsf{s}^{1/2}\right]$

Frequency evolution

subband central frequency [MHz]

Images remain in position

Some images persist over a factor of 2 in frequency!

"Nail in the coffin of turbulence models" All three properties of filaments now observed:

B1508+55

Conclusion

Random turbulence

Ordered filaments

Turbulence stopped being the standard model of scintillation.

Small scale filaments seem to be aligned with large scale filaments (Stock et al. 2024).

Not all secondary spectra are this clear. Ongoing debate if caused by multiple screens of filaments or turbulence.

23

Tim Sprenger

Interpretation

Internal structure

Needs to have a highest gradient that is similar for all filaments:

$$
\vec{\theta}_{\rm max} = \nu^{-2} \, (\vec{\nabla} n_e)_{\rm max}
$$

No strong amplifications observed, thus need to suppress caustics.

Substructure may also come from additional screens.

Interpretation

More than points of stationary phase

Wave phase:

$$
\Phi = -c_e \frac{n_e(\vec{\theta})}{\nu} + \nu D_{\text{eff}} \left(\vec{\theta} - \frac{\vec{V}_{\text{eff}}}{D_{\text{eff}}} t\right)^2
$$

Stationary condition:

$$
-\frac{\vec{V}_{\text{eff}}}{D_{\text{eff}}}t = c_e \frac{\nu^{-2}}{2D_{\text{eff}}}\vec{\nabla} n_e(\vec{\theta})
$$

At most one solution! $\vec{\theta}(t,\nu)$

Data is broadly consistent with image points being frozen in place for all times and frequencies!

Interpretation

 \times θ

Effelsberg UBB receiver

28

B1508+55

Images are at stable positions but disappear following power law.

Nearly continuous band crucial to match images. Power variations and tiny positional shifts not excluded.

Effelsberg 100m telescope and the UBB

Located in the Eifel. Operated by the Max Planck Institute for Radio Astronomy (MPIfR).

New Ultra Broad Band (UBB) receiver installed. Comissioning finished end of 2023.

1.3-6 GHz (1.3-2.6 + 3-6)

Pilot observations in 2024 taking filterbank data.

UBB receiver

Figure 1: Picture of the UBB Rx in the laboratory. The feed points downwards and is automatically pulled up into the Rx box for storage. In the centre left is the highly integrated EDD front-end unit, which provides control, monitoring, RF conditioning and digitization.

From the Effelsberg Newsletter, 15, 1, 2024

29

100-200 MHz Multi-station baseband 1-2 observations each during 2023

Observed pulsars

PSR B0329+54

First half of this talk.

PSR B0834+06

Too few scintles. No detection above 2 GHz.

PSR B1508+55

Second half of this talk.

PSR B1919+21

Too few scintles.