Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg



# Holistic air shower reconstruction for LOFAR using Information Field Theory

Work in the context of the Cosmic Ray Key Science Project Karen Terveer

Radio2024 Erlangen Nov 13th, 2024



# Air shower detection with LOFAR



The LOw-Frequency-ARray





Additional information from

Radio footprint can be observed by antenna array:



Time traces from all

#### **Radio detection of air showers**





Current analyses usually only use all this information separately, sequentially, or brute force simulation which is very resource hungry

How could one combine all information and get the most out of the data?

# **Information Field Theory**



- Framework for inferring and reconstructing spatially or temporally distributed physical fields from incomplete or noisy data
- Python software nifty8: https://gitlab.mpcdf.mpg.de/ift/nifty
- Key Concept: In IFT, physical field is treated as random field
  - → it has a probabilistic structure that can be modeled, even when direct observations are sparse or noisy



3D interactive version here: https://faun.rc.fas.harvard.edu/czucker/Paper\_Figures/3D\_Dust\_Edenhofer2023.html

# **Information Field Theory**



Inherently Bayesian

- $\rightarrow$  uses prior knowledge about the field
- $\rightarrow$  updates this knowledge based on observed data

$$P(s|d,n) = \frac{P(d|s,n)P(s)}{P(d|n)}$$

• By treating fields probabilistically, IFT can provide uncertainty quantification



# Holistic air shower reconstruction

Idea 1



- Observing the same field **s** w
  - Observing the same field s with two different measurement devices & reconstructing together is possible by multiplying likelihoods!

$$d_1 = R_1 s + n_1$$
  
$$d_2 = R_2 s + n_2$$
  
$$\mathcal{P}(s|d_1, d_2) \propto \mathcal{P}(d_1, d_2|s) \mathcal{P}(s) = \mathcal{P}(d_1|s) \mathcal{P}(d_2|s) \mathcal{P}(s)$$

 But for very different measurement devices (particle and radio) it can be hard to find a common description for field s Idea 2



- Find a parameterized model that relates to physical properties (CR energy, X<sub>max</sub>, θ, φ,...) for each measurement device
- Optimise the parameters simultanously using IFT
- Current status: building and testing the models separately



#### Background: ground truth Dots: sampled mock data + noise



#### A good reconstruction





xmax truth: 691.2822770849397 | E truth: 2.0740047002192992e+17 | zen truth: 24.855701558099295 | az truth: 58.882412603816306 | core truth 70.65335429151185 -11.816933154001134

xmax reco: 697.3993729873652 | E reco: 2.0752915624644576e+17 | zen reco: 24.587427392795668 | az reco: 58.82471775562134 | core reco 70.1716474997854 -12.497198509648463 xmax std: 16.392187879723124 | E std: 828463746557699.1 | zen std: 0.8185297143660117 | az std: 1.2240335177742323 | core std 2.151950508391883 0.8108224689437532

Control Andrews

# A bad reconstruction

When the core does not reconstruct well





xmax truth: 650.4589968260796 | E truth: 5.667928662667174e+17 | zen truth: 27.935315721254455 | az truth: 266.5097043328749 | core truth 43.68432621267314 37.66273543812591

xmax reco: 617.4798321071837 | E reco: 6.410132762998477e+17 | zen reco: 29.19133545427801 | az reco: 266.495517919472 | core reco -46.02753906553728 -23.839313209644246
xmax std: 8.003142609065614 | E std: 1527729210896367.8 | zen std: 0.5725625476463884 | az std: 1.10565396995812 | core std 1.444095469891309 32.34986517684393

#### **E-Field/trace reconstruction**

- LOFAR antenna is very resonant → reconstructing a frequency spectrum for the lower and higher end of LBA bandwith with noise present is challenging
- E-Field parameterization depends on
  - θ, φ,
  - The ratio of geomagnetic and charge excess contributions
  - The antenna position relative to the shower core

$$b_T = \frac{1}{v_+ - v_-} \log_{10} \left[ \frac{10^{b_G(v_+ - v_0)} + f(\Phi_{\text{obs}}) R \cdot 10^{b_C(v_+ - v_0)}}{10^{b_G(v_- - v_0)} + f(\Phi_{\text{obs}}) R \cdot 10^{b_C C(v_- - v_0)}} \right]$$

 $S(\nu) = A \cdot 10^{b_T(\nu - \nu_0)}$ 

S. Jansen, PhD thesis, 2016





# **Future: Combine E-Field and LDF**

- The current challenges are be due to limited information and degeneracies in the parameterizations!
- Combining E-Field and LDF reconstruction and (in the future) adding particle data is expected to greatly improve the performance
- End goal: Plug data in, press "magic button" and get full reconstruction







- Cosmic ray induced air showers produce radio emission that can be measured by detectors like LOFAR
- Reconstructing air shower parameters using all available information is a challenging task
- Information Field Theory is a possible solution





Thank you!

### **Radio emission from air showers**



- Cosmic ray produces air shower upon interaction with atmosphere
- Two effects: Askaryan effect and geomagnetic emission cause radio frequency emission that can be measured with antennas





Geomagnetic emission

Askaryan effect

T. Huege, Phys. Rep. 620, 1 (2016)

## ~ 100 reconstructions for abs(core\_truth-core\_reco) < 10 m









https://github.com/cg-laser/geoceLDF

• Parameters: Xmax, E, zenith, azimuth, core (X,Y)

Parameter	Prior Distribution	Range / Parameters
E	Uniform	$a_{min} = 1 \times 10^{16}, a_{max} = 1 \times 10^{18}$
$\phi$	Uniform	$a_{min} = 0,  a_{max} = 2\pi$
$\theta$	Uniform	$a_{min} = 0, \ a_{max} = \frac{\pi}{4}$
$X_{max}$	Uniform	$a_{min} = 600,  a_{max} = 800$
$X_{core}$	Uniform	$a_{min} = -100, a_{max} = 100$
$Y_{core}$	Uniform	$a_{min} = -100, a_{max} = 100$



# Air shower detection with LOFAR



The LOw-Frequency-ARray

